

**When telephoning, please ask for:** Helen Tambini  
**Direct dial** 0115 914 8320  
**Email** [democraticservices@rushcliffe.gov.uk](mailto:democraticservices@rushcliffe.gov.uk)

**Our reference:**  
**Your reference:**  
**Date:** Monday, 1 July 2019



**Rushcliffe Community  
Contact Centre**  
Rectory Road  
West Bridgford  
Nottingham  
NG2 6BU

To all Members of the Cabinet

Dear Councillor

A Meeting of the Cabinet will be held on Tuesday, 9 July 2019 at 7.00 pm in the Council Chamber Area B, Rushcliffe Arena, Rugby Road, West Bridgford to consider the following items of business.

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'S Sull'.

Sanjit Sull  
Monitoring Officer

## **AGENDA**

1. Apologies for Absence
2. Declarations of Interest
3. Minutes of the Meeting held on 11 June 2019 (Pages 1 - 6)
4. Opposition Group Leaders' Questions

To answer questions submitted by Opposition Group Leaders on items on the agenda.

5. Citizens' Questions

To answer questions submitted by citizens on the Council or its services.

### **NON-KEY DECISION**

6. Local Growth Boards Update and Review (Pages 7 - 12)

The report of the Chief Executive is attached.

7. Exclusion of the Public

**In person**  
Monday to Friday  
8.30am - 5pm  
First Saturday of  
each month  
9am - 1pm

**By telephone**  
Monday to Friday  
8.30am - 5pm

**Telephone:**  
0115 981 9911

**Email:**  
[customerservices@rushcliffe.gov.uk](mailto:customerservices@rushcliffe.gov.uk)

[www.rushcliffe.gov.uk](http://www.rushcliffe.gov.uk)

**Postal address**  
Rushcliffe Borough  
Council  
Rushcliffe Arena  
Rugby Road  
West Bridgford  
Nottingham  
NG2 7YG



To move “That under Regulation 21(1)(b) of the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2000, the public be excluded from the meeting for the following items of business on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Paragraph 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972.”

## KEY DECISIONS

8. New premises for Rushcliffe Community Contact Centre (Pages 13 - 22)

The report of the Interim Executive Manager – Transformation.

9. Abbey Road Progress Report (Pages 23 - 30)

The report of the Interim Executive Manger – Transformation [To Follow]

## Membership

Chairman: Councillor

Vice-Chairman: Councillor

Councillors:

<b>Meeting Room Guidance</b>
------------------------------

**Fire Alarm Evacuation:** in the event of an alarm sounding please evacuate the building using the nearest fire exit, normally through the Council Chamber. You should assemble at the far side of the plaza outside the main entrance to the building.

**Toilets:** are located to the rear of the building near the lift and stairs to the first floor.

**Mobile Phones:** For the benefit of others please ensure that your mobile phone is switched off whilst you are in the meeting.

**Microphones:** When you are invited to speak please press the button on your microphone, a red light will appear on the stem. Please ensure that you switch this off after you have spoken.

<b>Recording at Meetings</b>
------------------------------

The Openness of Local Government Bodies Regulations 2014 allows filming and recording by anyone attending a meeting. This is not within the Council’s control.

Rushcliffe Borough Council is committed to being open and transparent in its decision making. As such, the Council will undertake audio recording of meetings which are open to the public, except where it is resolved that the public be excluded, as the information being discussed is confidential or otherwise exempt.



## **MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE CABINET TUESDAY, 11 JUNE 2019**

Held at 7.00 pm in the Council Chamber Area B, Rushcliffe Arena, Rugby Road,  
West Bridgford

### **PRESENT:**

Councillors S J Robinson (Chairman), D Mason (Vice-Chairman), A Edyvean,  
R Inglis, G Moore and R Upton

### **ALSO IN ATTENDANCE:**

Councillors B Bansal, N Begum, A Brennan, N Clarke, T Combellack, M  
Gaunt, P Gowland, B Gray, R Jones, R Mallender, S Mallender, J Murray, J  
Walker

### **OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE:**

D Banks	Executive Manager - Neighbourhoods
P Linfield	Executive Manager - Finance and Corporate Services
K Marriott	Executive Manager - Transformation and Operations
S Sull	Monitoring Officer
H Tambini	Democratic Services Manager
L Webb	Democratic Services Officer

### **APOLOGIES:**

There were no apologies.

#### **1 Declarations of Interest**

Councillor Upton declared a non-pecuniary interest in respect of Item 7  
Marketing Options Lutterell Hall as the Vice - Chair of the Nottinghamshire  
Building Preservation Trust.

#### **2 Minutes of the Meeting held on 12 March 2019**

The minutes of the meeting held on Tuesday, 12 March 2019 were declared a  
true record and were signed by the Chairman.

#### **3 Opposition Group Leaders' Questions**

Question from Councillor R Jones to Councillor A Edyvean.

"Given the closure of the Police Station and therefore of the Council's Contact  
Centre, and given that Lutterell Hall, used by a range of adults and children, is  
the only available publicly owned Community Hall in the heart of West  
Bridgford, for what service reasons is the Cabinet contemplating marketing the  
site?"

Councillor Edyvean responded by stating that as a responsible Council, it was ensuring that it understood the value of its assets. The Council's aim remained to support the local community and the taxpayers of Rushcliffe. The report outlined that the users were not currently meeting the cost of running and maintaining the hall. The report did not propose that there would be no community facilities available in West Bridgford.

Councillor R Jones asked a supplementary question to Councillor A Edyvean.

"Given the Council's sale of other assets inherited from West Bridgford Urban District Council how can we justify marketing and selling the only remaining asset of community value when in stark contrast you were unable to do this to other community halls in the many other areas of Rushcliffe where town and parish councils run them?"

Councillor Edyvean responded by stating that the Council had not decided to sell Lutterell Hall.

Question from Councillor B Gray to Councillor A Edyvean.

"The report acknowledges Lutterell Hall as a community asset and given the Council's aims to maintain and enhance our residents' quality of life; can Cabinet explain why user groups of the hall, some of whom have been users for 50 years, were not consulted on the proposal?"

Councillor Edyvean responded by stating that this report provided the first opportunity to engage with user groups. The Council could not consult before the Cabinet had approved the exercise to explore options.

Councillor B Gray asked a supplementary question to Councillor A Edyvean.

"Considering the upset caused which can be shown from the 2,800 signatures on line and 900 more collected from St Giles pre-school, can the Cabinet advise how they will demonstrate their commitment to fully represent and consider the views of the community before they even think about putting Lutterell Hall on the market?"

Councillor Edyvean responded by stating that the Council was fully committed to full consultation with all users and stakeholders.

#### **4 Citizens' Questions**

There were no questions.

#### **5 Revenue and Capital Outturn 2018/19**

The Portfolio Holder for Finance and Contracts presented the report of the Executive Manager – Finance and Corporate Services setting out the year-end financial outturn position for 2018/19, linked to the closure of the accounts process and previous financial update reports.

The Portfolio Holder for Finance and Contracts advised that the report represented the final outturn position for the 2018/19 accounts and related to the Council's financial statement which had been signed off in draft form by the Executive Manger – Finance and Corporate Services on 31 May 2019 and would be finally signed off by the Governance Scrutiny Group on 23 July 2019.

The Portfolio Holder for Finance and Contracts stated that the overall report presented a positive financial position for the Council in a very challenging financial environment. The overall revenue position showed a transfer to reserves of £1.3m against a projected transfer of just over £1m. The overall variation of £220,000 represented a relatively small 2.06% of the net budget. The report highlighted key areas of underspend and those amounts were recommended to be carried forward to 2019/20. The Council had assisted 243 local businesses with discretionary rate relief of over £193,000. Overall, whilst the level of earmarked reserves was a healthy £11.82m, there were significant risks going forward both locally with the future decommissioning of the power station and also nationally. In respect of the Capital Programme 2018/19 outturn, there was an £18m underspend against the £24.7m which had been predicted throughout the year, with most of that being carried forward, as schemes continued, with asset investment remaining as a high priority. The most significant area of capital underspend was £9.561m on Council asset investments, with £4.8m of that sum already committed on known investments. The Council had agreed to pause on such investments in the future, to allow it to focus more on assets in the Borough. One investment undertaken was the continuation of the loan to Nottinghamshire County Cricket Club that had enabled the club to develop the venue, which as an international sports ground continued to bring in considerable income to the Borough.

In seconding the recommendation, Councillor Upton referred to the strong financial outturn for 2018/19 that illustrated the sound financial management of the Council, in particular the increased transfer to the reserve. The support given to the 243 businesses was also very positive.

Councillor Robinson referred to the timeliness of the loan to Nottinghamshire County Cricket Club. The use of the venue for the current Cricket World Cup continued to highlight the venue's importance on the world stage and provide significant economic benefits to the local community and the Council's pivotal role in that was acknowledged.

Councillor Robinson referred to the challenging financial environment and stated that the Council remained debt free, which was a considerable achievement.

**It was RESOLVED that:**

- a) The 2018/19 revenue position and efficiencies identified in Table 1 be noted, and the associated changes to the earmarked reserves as set out in paragraph 4.5 Supporting Information and Appendix B be approved;
- b) The re-profiled position on capital be noted and the capital carry forwards outlined in Appendix C and the additional spend required for the project outlined at paragraph 4.8 (including the commercial loan of £400k to Streetwise and £100k for works to Cotgrave Leisure Centre) be

approved; and

- c) The update on the Cricket Club loan in Section 5 be noted.

### **Reason for Decision**

To accord with good financial governance and the Council's Financial Regulations.

## **6 Marketing Options: Lutterell Hall**

The Portfolio Holder for Business and Transformation presented the report of the Executive Manager – Transformation and Operations, to consider future options for Lutterell Hall.

The Portfolio Holder for Business and Transformation confirmed that the Police had given the Council a notice to quit the Police Station by August 2020. The Council currently shared the building with the Police and operated the Contact Centre from there. The Council was continually reviewing its assets and how best to provide community facilities. The report had illustrated that Lutterell Hall was currently underutilised as a community facility, with usage declining by 25% over the last year. The Council was initially considering the market value of the property, particularly given the proposed disposal of the Police Station, it was not considering its disposal. In beginning the process, the Cabinet would be presented with future options, following a full consultation process with user and community groups. The Council had an exemplary record of providing community facilities throughout the Borough and would continue to do so.

The Portfolio Holder for Business and Transformation advised that in order to better reflect the spirit of the report, he proposed that the recommendations in the report be amended as follows:

- a) Cabinet agrees that expressions of interest for Lutterell Hall be invited from third parties at the same time that Nottinghamshire Police market the adjacent plot; and
- b) Cabinet receive a full report to consider any expressions of interest as detailed in resolution a) that then can be appraised alongside the options to retain Lutterell Hall for community use.

In seconding the recommendation, Councillor Mason stated that she was pleased that Cabinet had received the report to allow the consultation process to commence.

Councillor Robinson stated that it was good practice and in the best interest of all residents, that the Council reviewed its assets to ensure that local communities were provided with the best facilities, and given value for money and the report formed part of that process. The Borough was fortunate to have many exceptional community assets and all of those projects began with a review by Cabinet, followed by extensive consultation with all stakeholders. The Council was extremely proud of its assets and did not readily dispose of them, as the Council's records highlighted. Lutterell Hall was a significant asset to the local community and the Borough, and it was vital that it was

reviewed to ensure that it was fit for purpose, and no options had been ruled out. It was a legal requirement that a report be submitted to Cabinet and agreed before the consultation process could commence and the Cabinet had made a commitment that all stakeholders would be consulted. The revised recommendations better reflected the needs of the Council to review its assets and to work with the community to provide a positive outcome.

**It was RESOLVED that:**

- a) Cabinet agrees that expressions of interest for Lutterell Hall be invited from third parties at the same time that Nottinghamshire Police market the adjacent plot; and
- b) Cabinet receive a full report to consider any expressions of interest as detailed in resolution a) that then can be appraised alongside the options to retain Lutterell Hall for community use.

**Reason for Decision**

Nottinghamshire Police will be marketing the adjacent plot for disposal. The public consultation and consideration of any expressions of interest would allow Members to make a considered decision on the future of Lutterell Hall weighed up against community and other investment implications. The recommendations have been revised to better reflect the spirit of the report.

**7 Streetwise Relocation**

The Portfolio Holder for Business and Transformation presented the report of the Executive Manager – Transformation and Operations, providing an update on relocation options for Streetwise Environmental Ltd.

The Portfolio Holder for Business and Transformation advised that Streetwise would be moving out of the Abbey Road depot, fulfilling a long held desire to progress the redevelopment of the site for a purpose commensurate with its surroundings. The report outlined the steps undertaken to identify a suitable location for Streetwise, and having considered a number of options, it was recommended that it be relocated to Chapel Lane, Bingham, on a short-term lease. The short-term lease would allow Streetwise the flexibility to review its location, should other properties become available.

In seconding the recommendation, Councillor Inglis stated that the proposed relocation complemented the recent relocation of recycling and waste services and would release land for residential development, resulting in a positive effect for neighbouring housing. If circumstances changed, the three-year lease would allow flexibility and it was noted that other potential sites had been considered but not found suitable.

Councillor Robinson reiterated that the site had the potential to provide essential housing; in particular, social housing to West Bridgford and it was necessary to find a suitable site for Streetwise to ensure the continued delivery of essential services.

Councillor Upton confirmed that alternative locations had been considered and

a three-year lease seemed appropriate. It should be noted that if the Abbey Road site was not vacated by March 2020, the Council would be liable to lose £300,000 of land release funding which could be used for investment. It was encouraging that an outline planning application for the Abbey Road site had been submitted and would be considered at the next Planning Committee.

Councillor Mason stated that the site would provide an excellent interim solution, with considerable work having been undertaken to identify possible sites for relocation.

**It was RESOLVED that:**

The relocation of Streetwise Environmental Ltd from the Abbey Road site to part of a unit and land owned by the Council at Chapel Lane, Bingham, under a market rate lease for a period of three years be endorsed.

**Reasons for Decision**

- a) The Council has a long-held ambition to vacate the Abbey Road depot site in West Bridgford, which is in a residential area, in order to develop the site for housing.
- b) Following an extensive search for a suitable location, the site at Chapel Lane, Bingham has been identified as suitable for Streetwise Environmental Ltd (SEL).
- c) The short-term length of the lease will enable SEL to continue to review the property market and if an opportunity should come along which better complements its business requirements, it might relocate to another location.

The meeting closed at 7.23 pm.

CHAIRMAN





**Cabinet**

**Tuesday, 9 July 2019**

**Local Growth Boards update and review**

## **Report of the Chief Executive**

**Cabinet Portfolio Holder for Business and Transformation -  
Councillor Andy Edyvean**

### **1. Purpose of report**

- 1.1. This report provides an update on the work of the Local Growth Boards since the last Cabinet report in September 2017. At that point, some changes were made to the Growth Boards including separating the Radcliffe on Trent and Bingham Growth Boards and the establishment of the East Leake Growth Board.
- 1.2. As it is two years since the last review, it is timely that the number of Growth Boards in the Borough, their effectiveness, any new priorities, and the capacity available to support the Boards is considered.
- 1.3. The Borough Council is committed to localised working in the Borough and the work of the Growth Boards is complemented by support as required from different teams within the Council.

### **2. Recommendation**

It is RECOMMENDED that Cabinet approves:

- a) The establishment of a new Growth Board for Fairham, and extending the existing membership of the Fairham Development Board;
- b) That the Radcliffe on Trent and East Leake Growth Boards meet twice per year; and
- c) A review of the scope of the Shop Front Improvement Grant and funding to be considered by the Strategic Growth Board at its meeting in July.

### **3. Reasons for Recommendation**

- 3.1. It is nearly two years since the last review of the Local Growth Boards and four years since their establishment. It is, therefore, timely that another review takes place to ensure that the Boards remain effective and focus on the priority areas for the Council. Consideration must also be given to the resources available to support the Boards.
- 3.2. At the end of 2018, when the Boards were agreeing dates for 2019, all of the existing Boards agreed to move to three meetings per year, excluding

Bingham, which currently meets four times per year. Much of the work of the Boards takes place between meetings and, therefore, the frequency of meetings does not affect the ability of the Boards to deliver.

- 3.3. The Economic Growth Team have been working with other areas within the Borough who have requested support, most notably Ruddington. These areas would welcome some additional financial support from the Council to further assist them and ensure their high streets are sustainable.

#### **4. Supporting Information**

- 4.1. A report was agreed at Cabinet in September 2017 with the following recommendations:

- Cabinet acknowledge the work of the Boards to date.
- Cabinet notes the new Chairs appointed for each of the Growth Boards.
- Cabinet agrees to the proposal to separate the Bingham and Radcliffe on Trent Growth Board by the end of 2017.

Following the approval of these recommendations, there were, and are still currently, four Local Growth Boards operating in Rushcliffe: Bingham, East Leake, Radcliffe on Trent and West Bridgford.

#### **5. Successes to date**

- 5.1. The role of the Growth Boards is as facilitators to bring the right people together to achieve the shared ambitions identified by the Boards. Some of these are longer term and aspirational but there have been some key pieces of work delivered by, or because of, the Boards.

- 5.2. As well as facilitation and direct delivery, the Growth Boards have played a very important role in building relationships between the different organisations that operate in the area. This is across the public and private sector; and bringing these groups together often means more can be achieved in a more coordinated way.

- 5.3. Some of the tangible successes of the Growth Boards include:

- West Bridgford Commissioners' Report;
- West Bridgford Way website and social media channels;
- Tudor Square Masterplan and subsequent consultation in West Bridgford;
- Radcliffe on Trent Economic Masterplan;
- Radcliffe on Trent public realm improvements design work;
- Poacher Line business case for train services and station facilities at Bingham and Radcliffe on Trent;
- Bingham Masterplan;
- Appointment of new Bingham Market Manager who is now working closely with the Bingham Growth Board;
- Severn Trent Water capacity assessment in East Leake completed and further work to follow;
- East Leake T junction proposals and funding for zebra crossing secured from Nottinghamshire County Council;
- Retail reviews across all four Growth Board areas;

- #WDYT campaign and subsequent establishment of high street heroes (which includes areas outside of the growth boards);
  - Shop front improvements grants supported 16 local businesses to date; and
  - Melton Road Christmas lights switch on event.
- 5.4. In addition, there has been important work undertaken facilitating discussions about future provision of health facilities in East Leake and Radcliffe on Trent, identifying leisure needs in areas and working to support local businesses.
- 5.5. Each of the Growth Boards has an action plan in place with short, medium and long-term ambitions. The achievement of some of these is subject to securing funding and many are dependent on the resources (financial and officer) of the different organisations on the Boards. The responsibility for delivery is shared and is not entirely dependent on the Borough Council.

## **6. Proposed changes**

- 6.1. There are no significant changes proposed to the existing Growth Boards as it is acknowledged that each is working effectively and they need a little more time to achieve some of their key ambitions. However, there is a need to consider other areas of the Borough that are now a priority as well as the capacity within the team to support the existing and any new Boards.
- 6.2. Fairham is a key strategic site, which will deliver 3000 homes, 20 ha of employment land and 2000 jobs. The issues affecting delivery of this development have largely been overcome and it is anticipated that planning applications will be received in the summer 2019. Work would then start on site in early 2020 with the first phase of infrastructure, employment and housing. It is critical that momentum is maintained on the delivery of this site by working together with our partners to ensure a high quality development.
- 6.3. There is already a Fairham Development Board in place which is chaired by the Portfolio Holder for Business and Transformation and current attendees include Nottinghamshire County Council, Nottingham City Council, CWC (main landowner and commercial developer) and Homes England. It is proposed that this becomes a Growth Board and that the membership is extended to include parish and ward councillors from Barton in Fabis, Thrumpton and Gotham, as well as partner organisations such as the Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) and Highways England.
- 6.4. It is intended to establish operational groups to sit underneath this Board which will focus on the three elements of the project; housing, employment and infrastructure. They will then report into the Board any highlights or barriers to development. This level of governance is right for a site of this scale; however, there will be an impact on the workload of the team to support these different groups.
- 6.5. The West Bridgford Growth Board is a very active Board supporting the main town centre in Rushcliffe and delivering the recommendations of the West Bridgford Commissioners. It is, therefore, not proposed to make any changes to the existing Board, which will continue to meet three times per year.

- 6.6. The Bingham Growth Board is currently working on delivering the actions identified in the Bingham Masterplan. The Masterplan was produced to create a vision for the future of the town which is growing and changing rapidly over the next five to 10 years with the new housing development to the north of the town (1000 houses and 15 ha of employment land) and the plans for leisure and commercial development at Chapel Lane in Bingham. With all the development happening, it is important to maintain focus on Bingham to ensure coordinated delivery with the engagement of all partners. It is proposed that the Board continues to meet four times per year.
- 6.7. The Radcliffe on Trent Board has reviewed the Economic Masterplan and continues to have ambitions for better use of property assets within the village centre as well as improvements to the A52. The Board has overseen some designs to improve the public realm and also continues to liaise with the CCG regarding the future provision of health services to the village. It is proposed that this Growth Board should meet twice a year to keep working on some of the longer term ambitions of the village.
- 6.8. The East Leake Growth Board is the most recently established Board (2017) and its objectives are around managing the consequences of growth rather than being able to proactively plan for it. Therefore, the focus of much of the discussion at the meetings has been on the capacity issues for local services e.g. education. Much of the detailed work has taken place with partners outside of the main Board meetings. This format works well in East Leake and it is proposed that East Leake Growth Board meets twice per year in future.

## **7. Funding**

- 7.1. When the Growth Boards were established an allocation of funding was given to the Strategic Growth Board to help further support and enhance the work of the Strategic and Local Growth Boards. Some of this allocation has been spent on the delivery of some of the work listed above e.g. retail reviews. There is £78,000 remaining in the budget for the Strategic Growth Board; however, it is anticipated that some of this may be allocated to the following:
- Potential joint feasibility work with Bingham Town Council for a long stay car parking scheme (£25,000);
  - Melton Road public realm improvements (£8,000); and
  - Radcliffe on Trent public realm improvement scheme (£9,000).
- 7.2. Funding has also been allocated to provide the Shop Front Improvement Grant. This is match funding for local high street businesses up to a maximum of £5,000 for improvements to their shop fronts. In total £45,000 has been allocated to this budget. There is approximately £15,000 remaining in that budget but approximately £12,000 of this is allocated to businesses subject to them completing the work and invoicing us within the required timeframes. Therefore, there is only £3,000 left in this budget.
- 7.3. The Economic Growth Team has been providing support to other areas of the Borough, most notably Ruddington, in the last few months. These areas are working proactively to ensure that their high streets remain vibrant and it is therefore suggested that the Shop Front Improvement Grant allocation is extended by a further £20,000 from the Strategic Growth Board fund and made available to all high streets in Rushcliffe. This would leave £16,000 in

the Strategic Growth Board budget. This is for review and agreement by the Strategic Growth Board.

7.4. The following table provides a summary of the proposals for the finances subject to agreement by the Strategic Growth Board:

	<b>Strategic Growth Board</b>	<b>Shop Front Improvement Grant</b>
<b>Current budget remaining</b>	£78,000	£15,000
<b>Provisional allocations</b>	Bingham Town Council (subject to match) - £25,000 Melton Road - £8,000 Radcliffe on Trent - £9,000	£12,000 split across four businesses
<b>Proposal</b>	£20,000 move to Shop front Improvement Grant	+£20,000 to support extension of budget to whole Borough
<b>Remaining budget</b>	£16,000	£23,000

## **8. Alternative options considered and reasons for rejection**

8.1. The option to add additional Boards in other areas of the Borough was considered; however, these areas are being supported by the Economic Growth Team as required. There is no need for the formal structure of a Growth Board to ensure these areas are supported.

## **9. Risks and Uncertainties**

Other areas of the Borough may want Growth Boards establishing; however, support is being provided to them in different ways.

## **10. Implications**

### **10.1. Financial Implications**

The proposed changes to the Strategic Growth Board budget and Shop Front Improvement Grant budgets are covered in Section 7 of the report.

### **10.2. Legal Implications**

There are no legal implications.

### **10.3. Equalities Implications**

There are no equalities implications.

### **10.4. Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 Implications**

There are no crime and disorder implications.

## 11. Link to Corporate Priorities

Developing a programme of Growth Boards is a strategic task to support the delivery of the corporate priority of delivering economic growth to ensure a sustainable, prosperous and thriving local economy.

## 12. Recommendations

It is RECOMMENDED that Cabinet approves:

- a) The establishment of a new Growth Board for Fairham, extending the existing membership of the Fairham Development Board;
- b) That the Radcliffe on Trent and East Leake Growth Boards meet twice per year; and
- c) A review of the scope of the Shop Front Improvement Grant and funding to be considered by the Strategic Growth Board at its July meeting.

<b>For more information contact:</b>	Kath Marriott Chief Executive Tel: 0115 9148291 kmarriott@rushcliffe.gov.uk
<b>Background papers available for Inspection:</b>	
<b>List of appendices:</b>	

# Agenda Item 8

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A  
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank



By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A  
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

# Agenda Item 9

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A  
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank